

# Quantum Casimir elements and Sugawara operators

Alexander Molev

University of Sydney

# Plan

# Plan

- ▶ Harish-Chandra isomorphism for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .

# Plan

- ▶ Harish-Chandra isomorphism for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .
- ▶ Eigenvalues of Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev central elements.

# Plan

- ▶ Harish-Chandra isomorphism for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .
- ▶ Eigenvalues of Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev central elements.
- ▶ The  $q$ -immanants and Schur polynomials.

# Plan

- ▶ Harish-Chandra isomorphism for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .
- ▶ Eigenvalues of Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev central elements.
- ▶ The  $q$ -immanants and Schur polynomials.
- ▶ Sugawara operators.

# Plan

- ▶ Harish-Chandra isomorphism for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$ .
- ▶ Eigenvalues of Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev central elements.
- ▶ The  $q$ -immanants and Schur polynomials.
- ▶ Sugawara operators.

Based on joint papers with **Naihuan Jing** and **Ming Liu**:

**Adv. Math. 2024, JMP 2024, CMP 2025.**

# Harish-Chandra isomorphism

## Harish-Chandra isomorphism

We will regard the basis elements  $E_{ij}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  as generators of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

# Harish-Chandra isomorphism

We will regard the basis elements  $E_{ij}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  as generators of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

Defining relations:

$$E_{ij}E_{kl} - E_{kl}E_{ij} = \delta_{kj}E_{il} - \delta_{il}E_{kj}, \quad i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

# Harish-Chandra isomorphism

We will regard the basis elements  $E_{ij}$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  as generators of the universal enveloping algebra  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

Defining relations:

$$E_{ij}E_{kl} - E_{kl}E_{ij} = \delta_{kj}E_{il} - \delta_{il}E_{kj}, \quad i, j, k, l \in \{1, \dots, n\}.$$

Any element of the **center**  $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  of  $U(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  is called a **Casimir element**.

Given an  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , the corresponding irreducible highest weight representation  $L(\lambda)$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  is generated by a nonzero vector  $\xi \in L(\lambda)$  such that

$$E_{ij} \xi = 0 \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n, \quad \text{and}$$

$$E_{ii} \xi = \lambda_i \xi \quad \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n.$$

Given an  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , the corresponding irreducible highest weight representation  $L(\lambda)$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  is generated by a nonzero vector  $\xi \in L(\lambda)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} \xi &= 0 && \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n, && \text{and} \\ E_{ii} \xi &= \lambda_i \xi && \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Any element  $z \in Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  acts in  $L(\lambda)$  by multiplying each vector by a scalar  $\chi(z)$ .

Given an  $n$ -tuple of complex numbers  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$ , the corresponding irreducible highest weight representation  $L(\lambda)$  of  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  is generated by a nonzero vector  $\xi \in L(\lambda)$  such that

$$\begin{aligned} E_{ij} \xi &= 0 & \text{for } 1 \leq i < j \leq n, & \quad \text{and} \\ E_{ii} \xi &= \lambda_i \xi & \text{for } 1 \leq i \leq n. \end{aligned}$$

Any element  $z \in Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  acts in  $L(\lambda)$  by multiplying each vector by a scalar  $\chi(z)$ .

When regarded as a function of the highest weight,  $\chi(z)$  is a symmetric polynomial in the variables  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ , where  $\ell_i = \lambda_i + n - i$ .

The **Harish-Chandra isomorphism** is the map

$$\chi : Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  denotes the algebra of symmetric polynomials in  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ .

The **Harish-Chandra isomorphism** is the map

$$\chi : Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  denotes the algebra of symmetric polynomials in  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ .

[Okounkov 1996, Okounkov and Olshanski 1998]:

The **quantum immanants**  $\mathbb{S}_\mu$  form a basis of  $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  as  $\mu$  runs over Young diagrams with at most  $n$  rows.

The **Harish-Chandra isomorphism** is the map

$$\chi : Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n},$$

where  $\mathbb{C}[\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}$  denotes the algebra of symmetric polynomials in  $\ell_1, \dots, \ell_n$ .

[Okounkov 1996, Okounkov and Olshanski 1998]:

The **quantum immanants**  $\mathbb{S}_\mu$  form a basis of  $Z(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  as  $\mu$  runs over Young diagrams with at most  $n$  rows. Moreover,

$$\chi : \mathbb{S}_\mu \mapsto s_\mu^*,$$

the  $s_\mu^*$  are the **shifted (factorial) Schur polynomials**.

In the case of column-diagrams  $\mu$ , we recover the **Capelli determinant** [1890]:

$$C(u) = \text{cdet} \begin{bmatrix} u + n - 1 + E_{11} & E_{12} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ E_{21} & u + n - 2 + E_{22} & \dots & E_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & \dots & u + E_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

In the case of column-diagrams  $\mu$ , we recover the **Capelli determinant** [1890]:

$$C(u) = \text{cdet} \begin{bmatrix} u + n - 1 + E_{11} & E_{12} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ E_{21} & u + n - 2 + E_{22} & \dots & E_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & \dots & u + E_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= u^n + C_1 u^{n-1} + \dots + C_n$$

In the case of column-diagrams  $\mu$ , we recover the **Capelli determinant** [1890]:

$$C(u) = \text{cdet} \begin{bmatrix} u + n - 1 + E_{11} & E_{12} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ E_{21} & u + n - 2 + E_{22} & \dots & E_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & \dots & u + E_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$
$$= u^n + C_1 u^{n-1} + \dots + C_n$$

with

$$\chi : C(u) \mapsto (u + \ell_1) \dots (u + \ell_n).$$

In the case of column-diagrams  $\mu$ , we recover the **Capelli determinant** [1890]:

$$C(u) = \text{cdet} \begin{bmatrix} u + n - 1 + E_{11} & E_{12} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ E_{21} & u + n - 2 + E_{22} & \dots & E_{2n} \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & \dots & u + E_{nn} \end{bmatrix}$$

$$= u^n + C_1 u^{n-1} + \dots + C_n$$

with

$$\chi : C(u) \mapsto (u + \ell_1) \dots (u + \ell_n).$$

The coefficients  $C_1, \dots, C_n$  are free generators of  $\mathbb{Z}(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

# Gelfand invariants

## Gelfand invariants

Combine the generators  $E_{ij}$  into the matrix

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & E_{nn} \end{bmatrix} .$$

## Gelfand invariants

Combine the generators  $E_{ij}$  into the matrix

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & E_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The traces  $\text{tr } E^m$  are Casimir elements known as the **Gelfand invariants** [1950].

## Gelfand invariants

Combine the generators  $E_{ij}$  into the matrix

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & E_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The traces  $\text{tr } E^m$  are Casimir elements known as the **Gelfand invariants** [1950].

The Harish-Chandra images  $\chi(\text{tr } E^m)$  were first calculated by [Perelomov and Popov 1966]:

## Gelfand invariants

Combine the generators  $E_{ij}$  into the matrix

$$E = \begin{bmatrix} E_{11} & \dots & E_{1n} \\ \vdots & \dots & \vdots \\ E_{n1} & \dots & E_{nn} \end{bmatrix}.$$

The traces  $\text{tr } E^m$  are Casimir elements known as the **Gelfand invariants** [1950].

The Harish-Chandra images  $\chi(\text{tr } E^m)$  were first calculated by [Perelomov and Popov 1966]:

$$\chi(\text{tr } E^m) = \sum_{k=1}^n \ell_k^m \frac{(\ell_1 - \ell_k + 1) \dots (\ell_n - \ell_k + 1)}{(\ell_1 - \ell_k) \dots \wedge \dots (\ell_n - \ell_k)}.$$

A short proof is based on the formula

$$1 + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \operatorname{tr} E^m}{u^{m+1}} = \frac{C(u+1)}{C(u)},$$

A short proof is based on the formula

$$1 + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \operatorname{tr} E^m}{u^{m+1}} = \frac{C(u+1)}{C(u)},$$

generalizing both the **Newton formula** and **Liouville formula**.

A short proof is based on the formula

$$1 + \sum_{m=0}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^m \operatorname{tr} E^m}{u^{m+1}} = \frac{C(u+1)}{C(u)},$$

generalizing both the **Newton formula** and **Liouville formula**.

Under the Harish-Chandra isomorphism,

$$\chi : \frac{C(u+1)}{C(u)} \mapsto \frac{(u + \ell_1 + 1) \dots (u + \ell_n + 1)}{(u + \ell_1) \dots (u + \ell_n)}.$$

# Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev presentation

# Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev presentation

The algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  is generated by entries of the matrices

$$L^+ = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}^+ & l_{12}^+ & \cdots & l_{1n}^+ \\ 0 & l_{22}^+ & \cdots & l_{2n}^+ \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & l_{nn}^+ \end{bmatrix}$$

# Reshetikhin–Takhtajan–Faddeev presentation

The algebra  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  is generated by entries of the matrices

$$L^+ = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}^+ & l_{12}^+ & \cdots & l_{1n}^+ \\ 0 & l_{22}^+ & \cdots & l_{2n}^+ \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ 0 & 0 & \cdots & l_{nn}^+ \end{bmatrix}$$

and

$$L^- = \begin{bmatrix} l_{11}^- & 0 & \cdots & 0 \\ l_{21}^- & l_{22}^- & \cdots & 0 \\ \vdots & \vdots & \ddots & \vdots \\ l_{n1}^- & l_{n2}^- & \cdots & l_{nn}^- \end{bmatrix}.$$

Defining relations:

Defining relations:

$$l_{ii}^- l_{ii}^+ = l_{ii}^+ l_{ii}^- = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$R L_1^\pm L_2^\pm = L_2^\pm L_1^\pm R, \quad R L_1^+ L_2^- = L_2^- L_1^+ R,$$

Defining relations:

$$l_{ii}^- l_{ii}^+ = l_{ii}^+ l_{ii}^- = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$R L_1^\pm L_2^\pm = L_2^\pm L_1^\pm R, \quad R L_1^+ L_2^- = L_2^- L_1^+ R,$$

where

$$R = \sum_{i,j} q^{\delta_{ij}} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji},$$

Defining relations:

$$l_{ii}^- l_{ii}^+ = l_{ii}^+ l_{ii}^- = 1, \quad 1 \leq i \leq n,$$

$$R L_1^\pm L_2^\pm = L_2^\pm L_1^\pm R, \quad R L_1^+ L_2^- = L_2^- L_1^+ R,$$

where

$$R = \sum_{i,j} q^{\delta_{ij}} e_{ii} \otimes e_{jj} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} e_{ij} \otimes e_{ji},$$

with subscripts of  $L^\pm$  indicating the copies of  $\text{End } \mathbb{C}^n$  as in

$$L_1^\pm = \sum_{i,j} e_{ij} \otimes 1 \otimes l_{ij}^\pm \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^n \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n),$$

$$L_2^\pm = \sum_{i,j} 1 \otimes e_{ij} \otimes l_{ij}^\pm \in \text{End } \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^n \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n).$$

# Reflection equation algebra

## Reflection equation algebra

Let  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  be the subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  generated by the entries of the matrix  $L = L^+(L^-)^{-1}$ .

## Reflection equation algebra

Let  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  be the subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  generated by the entries of the matrix  $L = L^+(L^-)^{-1}$ .

Equivalently,  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  can be regarded as the algebra generated by the entries of the matrix  $L = [l_{ij}]$  subject to the reflection equation

$$\check{R}L_1\check{R}L_1 = L_1\check{R}L_1\check{R}, \quad \check{R} = PR,$$

## Reflection equation algebra

Let  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  be the subalgebra of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  generated by the entries of the matrix  $L = L^+(L^-)^{-1}$ .

Equivalently,  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  can be regarded as the algebra generated by the entries of the matrix  $L = [l_{ij}]$  subject to the reflection equation

$$\check{R}L_1\check{R}L_1 = L_1\check{R}L_1\check{R}, \quad \check{R} = PR,$$

where

$$P = \sum_{i,j} e_{ji} \otimes e_{ij}.$$

# Quantum Gelfand invariants

# Quantum Gelfand invariants

The **quantum Gelfand invariants** are defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}_q L^m = \mathrm{tr} DL^m,$$

with

$$D = \mathrm{diag}[1, q^{-2}, \dots, q^{-2n+2}].$$

# Quantum Gelfand invariants

The **quantum Gelfand invariants** are defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}_q L^m = \mathrm{tr} DL^m,$$

with

$$D = \mathrm{diag}[1, q^{-2}, \dots, q^{-2n+2}].$$

The elements  $\mathrm{tr}_q L^m$  are central in  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  [RTF 1989].

# Quantum Gelfand invariants

The **quantum Gelfand invariants** are defined by

$$\mathrm{tr}_q L^m = \mathrm{tr} DL^m,$$

with

$$D = \mathrm{diag}[1, q^{-2}, \dots, q^{-2n+2}].$$

The elements  $\mathrm{tr}_q L^m$  are central in  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  [RTF 1989].

They generate the center  $Z_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  of  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

# Harish-Chandra isomorphism

## Harish-Chandra isomorphism

The representation  $L_q(\lambda)$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  with  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is generated by a nonzero vector  $\xi$  such that

$$l_{ij}^- \xi = 0 \quad \text{for } i > j,$$
$$l_{ii}^+ \xi = q^{\lambda_i} \xi \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.$$

# Harish-Chandra isomorphism

The representation  $L_q(\lambda)$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  with  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is generated by a nonzero vector  $\xi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}l_{ij}^- \xi &= 0 & \text{for } i > j, \\l_{ii}^+ \xi &= q^{\lambda_i} \xi & \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.\end{aligned}$$

Any element  $z \in \mathbb{Z}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  acts in  $L_q(\lambda)$  as a scalar  $\chi(z)$ .

# Harish-Chandra isomorphism

The representation  $L_q(\lambda)$  of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  with  $\lambda = (\lambda_1, \dots, \lambda_n)$  is generated by a nonzero vector  $\xi$  such that

$$\begin{aligned}l_{ij}^- \xi &= 0 && \text{for } i > j, \\l_{ii}^+ \xi &= q^{\lambda_i} \xi && \text{for } i = 1, \dots, n.\end{aligned}$$

Any element  $z \in \mathbf{Z}_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$  acts in  $L_q(\lambda)$  as a scalar  $\chi(z)$ .

**Set**  $\ell_i = \lambda_i - i + 1$  to have the Harish-Chandra isomorphism

$$\chi : \mathbf{Z}_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n) \rightarrow \mathbb{C}[q^{2\ell_1}, \dots, q^{2\ell_n}]^{\mathfrak{S}_n}.$$

[Joseph and Letzter 1992, Rosso 1990, Tanisaki 1990].

Theorem.

Theorem.

We have

$$\chi : q^{n-1} \operatorname{tr}_q L^m \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n q^{2\ell_k m} \frac{[\ell_k - \ell_1 + 1]_q \cdots [\ell_k - \ell_n + 1]_q}{[\ell_k - \ell_1]_q \cdots \wedge \cdots [\ell_k - \ell_n]_q},$$

Theorem.

We have

$$\chi : q^{n-1} \operatorname{tr}_q L^m \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n q^{2\ell_k m} \frac{[\ell_k - \ell_1 + 1]_q \cdots [\ell_k - \ell_n + 1]_q}{[\ell_k - \ell_1]_q \cdots \wedge \cdots [\ell_k - \ell_n]_q},$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

Theorem.

We have

$$\chi : q^{n-1} \operatorname{tr}_q L^m \mapsto \sum_{k=1}^n q^{2\ell_k m} \frac{[\ell_k - \ell_1 + 1]_q \cdots [\ell_k - \ell_n + 1]_q}{[\ell_k - \ell_1]_q \cdots \wedge \cdots [\ell_k - \ell_n]_q},$$

where

$$[k]_q = \frac{q^k - q^{-k}}{q - q^{-1}}.$$

The Perelomov–Popov formulas follow from the theorem by taking the limit  $q \rightarrow 1$ .

# The $q$ -immanants

## The $q$ -immanants

The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_m$  is generated by elements  $T_1, \dots, T_{m-1}$  subject to the relations

$$(T_i - q)(T_i + q^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1.$$

## The $q$ -immanants

The **Hecke algebra**  $\mathcal{H}_m$  is generated by elements  $T_1, \dots, T_{m-1}$  subject to the relations

$$(T_i - q)(T_i + q^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1.$$

The **Jucys–Murphy elements** are defined by

$$y_k = T_{k-1} \dots T_2 T_1^2 T_2 \dots T_{k-1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

## The $q$ -immanants

The **Hecke algebra**  $\mathcal{H}_m$  is generated by elements  $T_1, \dots, T_{m-1}$  subject to the relations

$$(T_i - q)(T_i + q^{-1}) = 0,$$

$$T_i T_{i+1} T_i = T_{i+1} T_i T_{i+1},$$

$$T_i T_j = T_j T_i \quad \text{for } |i - j| > 1.$$

The **Jucys–Murphy elements** are defined by

$$y_k = T_{k-1} \dots T_2 T_1^2 T_2 \dots T_{k-1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m.$$

[Cherednik 1987], [Dipper and James 1987].

The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_m$  is semisimple,

$$\mathcal{H}_m \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash m} \text{Mat}_{f_\mu}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $f_\mu$  is the number of standard tableaux of shape  $\mu$ .

The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_m$  is semisimple,

$$\mathcal{H}_m \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash m} \text{Mat}_{f_\mu}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $f_\mu$  is the number of standard tableaux of shape  $\mu$ .

The diagonal matrix units  $e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}} \in \text{Mat}_{f_\mu}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\text{sh}(\mathcal{U}) = \mu$  are primitive idempotents of  $\mathcal{H}_m$ .

The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_m$  is semisimple,

$$\mathcal{H}_m \cong \bigoplus_{\mu \vdash m} \text{Mat}_{f_\mu}(\mathbb{C}),$$

where  $f_\mu$  is the number of standard tableaux of shape  $\mu$ .

The diagonal matrix units  $e_{\mathcal{U}} = e_{\mathcal{U}\mathcal{U}} \in \text{Mat}_{f_\mu}(\mathbb{C})$  with  $\text{sh}(\mathcal{U}) = \mu$  are primitive idempotents of  $\mathcal{H}_m$ .

They can be expressed explicitly in terms of the generators  $T_i$  or the Jucys–Murphy elements  $y_k$ .

Examples.

Examples.

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is the tableau

1
2
$\vdots$
$m$

Examples.

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is the tableau

1
2
$\vdots$
$m$

then

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{(y_2 - q^2) \cdots (y_m - q^2)}{(q^{-2} - q^2) \cdots (q^{-2m+2} - q^2)}.$$

## Examples.

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is the tableau

1
2
$\vdots$
$m$

then

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{(y_2 - q^2) \cdots (y_m - q^2)}{(q^{-2} - q^2) \cdots (q^{-2m+2} - q^2)}.$$

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is the tableau

1	2	$\cdots$	$m$
---	---	----------	-----

## Examples.

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is the tableau

1
2
$\vdots$
$m$

then

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{(y_2 - q^2) \cdots (y_m - q^2)}{(q^{-2} - q^2) \cdots (q^{-2m+2} - q^2)}.$$

If  $\mathcal{U}$  is the tableau

1	2	$\cdots$	$m$
---	---	----------	-----

then

$$e_{\mathcal{U}} = \frac{(y_2 - q^{-2}) \cdots (y_m - q^{-2})}{(q^2 - q^{-2}) \cdots (q^{2m-2} - q^{-2})}.$$

The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_m$  acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$  by

$$T_k \mapsto \check{R}_{kk+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_m$  acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$  by

$$T_k \mapsto \check{R}_{kk+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

where

$$\check{R}_{kk+1} \in \underbrace{\text{End } \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^n}_m$$

The Hecke algebra  $\mathcal{H}_m$  acts on  $(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$  by

$$T_k \mapsto \check{R}_{kk+1}, \quad k = 1, \dots, m-1,$$

where

$$\check{R}_{kk+1} \in \underbrace{\text{End } \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \dots \otimes \text{End } \mathbb{C}^n}_m$$

and

$$\check{R} = PR = \sum_{i,j} q^{\delta_{ij}} e_{ji} \otimes e_{ij} + (q - q^{-1}) \sum_{i < j} e_{jj} \otimes e_{ii}.$$

**Definition.** Given any standard tableau  $\mathcal{U}$  of shape  $\mu$ , the associated  $q$ -immanant polynomial is

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \text{tr}_{q(1, \dots, m)} (L_1^+ + zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}L_1^-) \dots (L_m^+ + zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}L_m^-) \\ \times (L_m^-)^{-1} \dots (L_1^-)^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{U},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{U}$  is the image of  $e_\mathcal{U}$ , while  $c_k(\mathcal{U}) = j - i$  is the content of the box  $\alpha = (i, j)$  occupied by  $k$ .

**Definition.** Given any standard tableau  $\mathcal{U}$  of shape  $\mu$ , the associated  $q$ -immanant polynomial is

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \text{tr}_{q(1, \dots, m)} (L_1^+ + zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}L_1^-) \dots (L_m^+ + zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}L_m^-) \\ \times (L_m^-)^{-1} \dots (L_1^-)^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{U},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{U}$  is the image of  $e_\mathcal{U}$ , while  $c_k(\mathcal{U}) = j - i$  is the content of the box  $\alpha = (i, j)$  occupied by  $k$ . It only depends on  $\mu$ .

**Definition.** Given any standard tableau  $\mathcal{U}$  of shape  $\mu$ , the associated  $q$ -immanant polynomial is

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \operatorname{tr}_{q(1, \dots, m)} (L_1^+ + zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}L_1^-) \dots (L_m^+ + zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}L_m^-) \\ \times (L_m^-)^{-1} \dots (L_1^-)^{-1} \mathcal{E}_\mathcal{U},$$

where  $\mathcal{E}_\mathcal{U}$  is the image of  $e_\mathcal{U}$ , while  $c_k(\mathcal{U}) = j - i$  is the content of the box  $\alpha = (i, j)$  occupied by  $k$ . It only depends on  $\mu$ .

The expression under the trace belongs to

$$\underbrace{\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^n \otimes \dots \otimes \operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^n}_m \otimes U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n).$$

Equivalent definition.

The  $q$ -immanant polynomial is given by

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \text{tr}_{q(1,\dots,m)} (L_{\bar{1}} + zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}) \dots (L_{\bar{m}} + zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}},$$

where  $L = L^+(L^-)^{-1}$ .

## Equivalent definition.

The  $q$ -immanant polynomial is given by

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \operatorname{tr}_{q(1, \dots, m)} (L_{\bar{1}} + zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}) \dots (L_{\bar{m}} + zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}},$$

where  $L = L^+(L^-)^{-1}$ .

Given a matrix  $X$ , we set  $X_{\bar{1}} = X_1$  and

$$X_{\bar{k}} = \check{R}_{k-1k} \dots \check{R}_{12} X_1 \check{R}_{12}^{-1} \dots \check{R}_{k-1k}^{-1}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

## Equivalent definition.

The  $q$ -immanant polynomial is given by

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \text{tr}_{q(1, \dots, m)} (L_{\bar{1}} + zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}) \dots (L_{\bar{m}} + zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}) \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}},$$

where  $L = L^+(L^-)^{-1}$ .

Given a matrix  $X$ , we set  $X_{\bar{1}} = X_1$  and

$$X_{\bar{k}} = \check{R}_{k-1k} \dots \check{R}_{12} X_1 \check{R}_{12}^{-1} \dots \check{R}_{k-1k}^{-1}, \quad k \geq 2.$$

Note that in the specialization  $q = 1$  we have  $\check{R} = P$

so that  $X_{\bar{k}} = X_k$ .

Theorem.

## Theorem.

- ▶ All coefficients of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  belong to the center of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

## Theorem.

- ▶ All coefficients of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  belong to the center of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .
- ▶ The eigenvalue of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  in the module  $L_q(\lambda)$  coincides with the **factorial Schur polynomial**

## Theorem.

- ▶ All coefficients of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  belong to the center of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .
- ▶ The eigenvalue of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  in the module  $L_q(\lambda)$  coincides with the **factorial Schur polynomial**

$$s_\mu(q^{2\ell_1}, \dots, q^{2\ell_n} | z) = \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{T})=\mu} \prod_{\alpha \in \mu} \left( q^{2\ell_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha)}} + z q^{-2\mathcal{T}(\alpha) - 2c(\alpha) + 2} \right).$$

## Theorem.

- ▶ All coefficients of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  belong to the center of  $U_q(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .
- ▶ The eigenvalue of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  in the module  $L_q(\lambda)$  coincides with the **factorial Schur polynomial**

$$s_\mu(q^{2\ell_1}, \dots, q^{2\ell_n} | z) = \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{T})=\mu} \prod_{\alpha \in \mu} \left( q^{2\ell_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha)}} + z q^{-2\mathcal{T}(\alpha) - 2c(\alpha) + 2} \right).$$

- ▶ For any fixed  $z \in \mathbb{C}$ , the elements  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  form a basis of the center of  $U_q^\circ(\mathfrak{gl}_n)$ .

## Two particular cases

## Two particular cases

$$\blacktriangleright \mathbb{S}_\mu(0) = \text{tr}_{q(1,\dots,m)} L_{\bar{1}} \cdots L_{\bar{m}} \mathcal{E}_U \mapsto s_\mu(q^{2\ell_1}, \dots, q^{2\ell_n})$$

## Two particular cases

- ▶  $S_\mu(0) = \text{tr}_{q(1,\dots,m)} L_{\bar{1}} \cdots L_{\bar{m}} \mathcal{E}_U \mapsto s_\mu(q^{2\ell_1}, \dots, q^{2\ell_n})$   
coincides with the Drinfeld–Reshetikhin element.  
[Drinfeld 1989, Reshetikhin 1989].

## Two particular cases

- ▶  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(0) = \text{tr}_{q(1,\dots,m)} L_{\bar{1}} \cdots L_{\bar{m}} \mathcal{E}_U \mapsto s_\mu(q^{2\ell_1}, \dots, q^{2\ell_n})$   
coincides with the Drinfeld–Reshetikhin element.

[Drinfeld 1989, Reshetikhin 1989].

- ▶ Take  $z = (q - q^{-1})^{-1}$  and define the  $q$ -immanant by

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu = \mathbb{S}_\mu\left(\frac{1}{q - q^{-1}}\right).$$

## Two particular cases

- ▶  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(0) = \text{tr}_{q(1, \dots, m)} L_{\bar{1}} \cdots L_{\bar{m}} \mathcal{E}_U \mapsto s_\mu(q^{2\ell_1}, \dots, q^{2\ell_n})$   
coincides with the Drinfeld–Reshetikhin element.  
[Drinfeld 1989, Reshetikhin 1989].

- ▶ Take  $z = (q - q^{-1})^{-1}$  and define the  $q$ -immanant by

$$\mathbb{S}_\mu = \mathbb{S}_\mu\left(\frac{1}{q - q^{-1}}\right).$$

The limit value of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu$  as  $q \rightarrow 1$  coincides with the quantum immanant  $\mathbb{S}_\mu$  for  $\mathfrak{gl}_n$  [Okounkov 1996].

# Quantum Sugawara operators

## Quantum Sugawara operators

The quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  is generated by elements

$$l_{ij}^+[-r], \quad l_{ij}^-[r] \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and the invertible central element  $q^c$ ,

# Quantum Sugawara operators

The quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  is generated by elements

$$l_{ij}^+[-r], \quad l_{ij}^-[r] \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and the invertible central element  $q^c$ , subject to the defining relations

$$l_{ji}^+[0] = l_{ij}^-[0] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

$$l_{ii}^+[0] l_{ii}^-[0] = l_{ii}^-[0] l_{ii}^+[0] = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

# Quantum Sugawara operators

The quantum affine algebra  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  is generated by elements

$$l_{ij}^+[-r], \quad l_{ij}^-[r] \quad \text{with} \quad 1 \leq i, j \leq n, \quad r = 0, 1, \dots,$$

and the invertible central element  $q^c$ , subject to the defining relations

$$l_{ji}^+[0] = l_{ij}^-[0] = 0 \quad \text{for} \quad 1 \leq i < j \leq n,$$

$$l_{ii}^+[0] l_{ii}^-[0] = l_{ii}^-[0] l_{ii}^+[0] = 1 \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, \dots, n,$$

and

$$R(u/v)L_1^\pm(u)L_2^\pm(v) = L_2^\pm(v)L_1^\pm(u)R(u/v),$$

$$R(uq^{-c}/v)L_1^+(u)L_2^-(v) = L_2^-(v)L_1^+(u)R(uq^c/v).$$

We consider the matrices  $L^\pm(u) = [l_{ij}^\pm(u)]$  with

$$l_{ij}^+(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^+[-r] u^r, \quad l_{ij}^-(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^-[r] u^{-r}.$$

We consider the matrices  $L^\pm(u) = [l_{ij}^\pm(u)]$  with

$$l_{ij}^+(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^+[-r] u^r, \quad l_{ij}^-(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^-[r] u^{-r}.$$

The  $R$ -matrix  $R(x)$  is defined by

$$R(x) = \frac{f(x)}{q - q^{-1}x} (R + xR_{21}|_{q \rightarrow q^{-1}}),$$

where  $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{C}[[x]]$  is determined by

$$f(xq^{2n}) = f(x) \frac{(1 - xq^2)(1 - xq^{2n-2})}{(1 - x)(1 - xq^{2n})}.$$

We consider the matrices  $L^\pm(u) = [l_{ij}^\pm(u)]$  with

$$l_{ij}^+(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^+[-r] u^r, \quad l_{ij}^-(u) = \sum_{r=0}^{\infty} l_{ij}^-[r] u^{-r}.$$

The **R-matrix**  $R(x)$  is defined by

$$R(x) = \frac{f(x)}{q - q^{-1}x} (R + xR_{21}|_{q \mapsto q^{-1}}),$$

where  $f(x) \in 1 + x\mathbb{C}[[x]]$  is determined by

$$f(xq^{2n}) = f(x) \frac{(1 - xq^2)(1 - xq^{2n-2})}{(1 - x)(1 - xq^{2n})}.$$

[Reshetikhin and Semenov-Tian-Shansky 1990],

[Frenkel and Reshetikhin 1992].

Denote by  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$  the quantum affine algebra  
at the critical level  $c = -n$ .

Denote by  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$  the quantum affine algebra  
at the critical level  $c = -n$ .

Its completion  $\widetilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$  is defined as the inverse limit

$$\widetilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}} = \varprojlim U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}/J_p, \quad p > 0,$$

where  $J_p$  is the left ideal of  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$  generated by all elements  $l_{ij}^- [r]$  with  $r \geq p$ .

**Definition.** Given a standard  $\mu$ -tableau  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  
introduce the **Laurent series**  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  in  $z$  by

**Definition.** Given a standard  $\mu$ -tableau  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  
introduce the **Laurent series**  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  in  $z$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \operatorname{tr}_{q(1,\dots,m)} L_1^+(zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}) \dots L_m^+(zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}) \\ \times L_m^-(zq^{-n-2c_m(\mathcal{U})})^{-1} \dots L_1^-(zq^{-n-2c_1(\mathcal{U})})^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $q$ -trace is taken over all  $m$  copies of  $\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^n$ .

**Definition.** Given a standard  $\mu$ -tableau  $\mathcal{U}$ , introduce the **Laurent series**  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  in  $z$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \operatorname{tr}_{q(1,\dots,m)} L_1^+(zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}) \dots L_m^+(zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}) \\ \times L_m^-(zq^{-n-2c_m(\mathcal{U})})^{-1} \dots L_1^-(zq^{-n-2c_1(\mathcal{U})})^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $q$ -trace is taken over all  $m$  copies of  $\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^n$ .

It only depends on  $\mu$ .

**Definition.** Given a standard  $\mu$ -tableau  $\mathcal{U}$ ,  
introduce the **Laurent series**  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  in  $z$  by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathbb{S}_\mu(z) = \operatorname{tr}_{q(1,\dots,m)} L_1^+(zq^{-2c_1(\mathcal{U})}) \dots L_m^+(zq^{-2c_m(\mathcal{U})}) \\ \times L_m^-(zq^{-n-2c_m(\mathcal{U})})^{-1} \dots L_1^-(zq^{-n-2c_1(\mathcal{U})})^{-1} \mathcal{E}_{\mathcal{U}}, \end{aligned}$$

where the  $q$ -trace is taken over all  $m$  copies of  $\operatorname{End} \mathbb{C}^n$ .

It only depends on  $\mu$ .

All coefficients of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  belong to  $\tilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$ .

Theorem.

Theorem.

All coefficients of the Laurent series  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  are  
quantum Sugawara operators:

## Theorem.

All coefficients of the Laurent series  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  are  
quantum Sugawara operators:

they belong to the center  $Z_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  of  $\widetilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$ .

## Theorem.

All coefficients of the Laurent series  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  are  
quantum Sugawara operators:

they belong to the center  $Z_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  of  $\widetilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$ .

## Remarks.

Case  $\mu = (1^m)$  : [Frappat, Jing, M. and Ragoucy 2016].

## Theorem.

All coefficients of the Laurent series  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  are  
quantum Sugawara operators:

they belong to the center  $Z_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  of  $\widetilde{U}_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)_{\text{cri}}$ .

## Remarks.

Case  $\mu = (1^m)$  : [Frappat, Jing, M. and Ragoucy 2016].

A general construction based on the universal  $R$ -matrix:  
[Ding and Etingof 1994].

Theorem.

Theorem.

The Harish-Chandra images of the quantum Sugawara operators are found by

$$\chi : \mathbb{S}_\mu(z) \mapsto \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{T})=\mu} \prod_{\alpha \in \mu} x_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha)}(zq^{-2c(\alpha)}),$$

## Theorem.

The Harish-Chandra images of the quantum Sugawara operators are found by

$$\chi : \mathbb{S}_\mu(z) \mapsto \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{T})=\mu} \prod_{\alpha \in \mu} x_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha)}(zq^{-2c(\alpha)}),$$

summed over semistandard tableaux  $\mathcal{T}$  of shape  $\mu$  with entries in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where

## Theorem.

The Harish-Chandra images of the quantum Sugawara operators are found by

$$\chi : \mathbb{S}_\mu(z) \mapsto \sum_{\text{sh}(\mathcal{T})=\mu} \prod_{\alpha \in \mu} x_{\mathcal{T}(\alpha)}(zq^{-2c(\alpha)}),$$

summed over semistandard tableaux  $\mathcal{T}$  of shape  $\mu$  with entries in  $\{1, 2, \dots, n\}$ , where

$$x_i(z) = q^{2-2i} \frac{l_{ii}^+(z) l_{11}^-(zq^{-n+2}) \dots l_{i-1, i-1}^-(zq^{-n+2i-2})}{l_{11}^-(zq^{-n}) \dots l_{ii}^-(zq^{-n+2i-2})}.$$

Remarks.

## Remarks.

- ▶ The Harish-Chandra image of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  coincides with the  $q$ -character of the evaluation module  $L(\mu) = \mathcal{E}_\mu(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$  over  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$

[Frenkel and Reshetikhin 1999],

[Frenkel and Mukhin 2002],

[Brundan and Kleshchev 2008].

## Remarks.

- ▶ The Harish-Chandra image of  $\mathbb{S}_\mu(z)$  coincides with the  $q$ -character of the evaluation module  $L(\mu) = \mathcal{E}_U(\mathbb{C}^n)^{\otimes m}$  over  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$

[Frenkel and Reshetikhin 1999],

[Frenkel and Mukhin 2002],

[Brundan and Kleshchev 2008].

- ▶ The theorem yields the eigenvalues of quantum Sugawara operators on the  $q$ -deformed Wakimoto modules over  $U_q(\widehat{\mathfrak{gl}}_n)$  at the critical level.

[Awata, Odake and Shiraishi 1994].